

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,852	09/13/2000	Gerard Vahee	END920000075US1	7942	
7590 10/13/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John R. Pivnichny			FRENEL, VANEL		
IBM, N50/040	-4			<del></del>	
1701 North Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Endicott, NY 13760			3626		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/660, 852	VAHEE ET AL.				
- -	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vanel Frenel	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o B FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation sheet</u> .						
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None.</u>						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
		PRIMARY ENAMED AND THE				

## Continuation of 5:

Note: Applicant's arguments filed 09/14/04 with respect to claims 1-12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments filed 09/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed hereinbelow in the order in which they appear in the response filed on 09/14/04.

(A) At pages 1-3 of the 09/14/04 response, Applicant's submitted an exhibit of IBM dated 11/26/99 in which the Declaration has been inserted.

The Affidavit of Declaration filed on 4/06/04 under 37 U.S.C.1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Morgenthaler and Baer references.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Baer to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice.

The Affidavit of Declaration is insufficient because Applicant's only showed conception but fails to demonstrate factual proof of reduction to practice to overcome the rejection.

Since the declaration was insufficient, Applicant's argument directed to the rejection of the claims 1-12 used in the previous Office Action was based on the submission of the combination of the references cited above to reject the claims. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the final is maintained.